

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

4/09/2004	Timothy W. Lunneborg	MAG-2.001.CIP	1109	
06/22/2005		EXAMINER		
GANZ LAW, P.C.		ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON		
		APTINIT	PAPER NUMBER	
/123			TALLK NOMBER	
		06/22/2005	06/22/2005 EXAM ROBINSON, D	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					W~		
		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)	,		
Office Action Summary		10/821,	,295	LUNNEBORG ET A	L.		
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Daniel I.	. Robinson	3742			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence addr	'ess		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is ure to reply within the set or extended period for replace to reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a rep tatutory minimum of thirty (will expire SIX (6) MONTh application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this com NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status		·					
1)[🔀	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>09 April 2004</i>					
•	·	2b)⊠ This action is					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ✓ Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the control of the oath or declaration is objected to	e: a) accepted or ection to the drawing(s g the correction is requ) be held in abeyanc uired if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	, ,		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	or documents have be or documents have be of the priority docur onal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Ap ments have been re Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National S	tage		
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date			/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-27, drawn to a magnetic heater, classified in class 219, subclass
 628.
- II. Claim 28, drawn to a method of generating heat, classified in class 219, subclass 618.
- III. Claims 29-31, drawn to a magnetic assembly, classified in class 219, subclass 628.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions both Groups (I and III) and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process can as claimed can be practiced with a magnetic heater with a conductive member with a first side and a second side or a magnetic heater with a magnetic assembly with a first side and a second side.

Art Unit: 3742

Inventions Group III and Group I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the subcombination may have a magnetic heater with a conductive member with a first side and a second side or a magnetic heater with a magnetic assembly with a first side and a second side.

The subcombination has separate utility such as a magnetic heater.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A a magnetic heater with a two sided conductive member.

Species B a magnetic heater with a two sided magnetic assembly

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Joel Copcopan Reg. Nos. 53,854 on 6-15-2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3742

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel I. Robinson whose telephone number is 571-272-4788. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30am-2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DANIEL ROBINSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

dlr